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System optimization for the multicore era

- Scaling up the system performance
  - Increasing the number of processors on chip

- Keeping the communication cost between the processors low
  - Data sharing for unified memory space and shared address space
  - Coherence protocols implemented

- Coherence comes at a cost
  - Designing a coherent multiprocessor is one of the most challenging tasks
  - System performance is reduced due to coherence overhead

- Goals
  - Reduce performance loss due to coherence traffic
  - Reduce power consumption for high-power cache access
A new 4-way chip multiprocessor node design

- 4-way SMP based on PowerPC 450
  - Next-generation IBM embedded microprocessor

- PPC450 represents first IBM 4xx embedded processor with coherence support
  - Write through policy
  - Snoop on write requests to invalidate cache lines

- Internal architecture closely related to PPC440
  - Single copy of single ported L1 data cache tag array reduces area
  - Snoop traffic shares tag port with data cache access

- Design driven by technical and non-technical considerations
  - Area and power limit ability to increase cache subsystem complexity
  - NRE and time to market considerations limit
Coherence revisited

- Coherence establishes consistent, shared view of memory despite the presence of local caches
  - Accomplished by ensuring updates from one core are visible to readers on other cores
  - Invalidate cache contents in remote caches when locally modified

- Coherence is important for data sharing
  - Locks and shared data resources must be synchronized
  - Allow applications to efficiently share data

- Coherence represents overhead for non-shared data
  - But in properly tuned applications, most data is not shared
    - Cost of data transfer would diminish application performance
    - Many code transformations to increase locality of reference
    - Key goal: avoid paying for synchronizing unshared data

- Eliminate useless coherence actions
  - Increases performance (remove unnecessary lookups, reduced cache interference)
  - Reduces power (and energy)
Snoop filtering of unnecessary coherence requests
Multi-component snoop filtering

- Key to snoop filter effectiveness is high filtering rate
- Correctness requirement is to *never* miss a necessary snoop request
- Different applications and different data classes have distinct characteristics
  - Streams of contiguous data
  - Repeated accesses to the same data addresses
  - Some regions are known to not be shared
- Novel multi-component snoop filter
  - matches individual snoop filters to specific access patterns
Multi-component filtering: Matching filtering techniques to data access patterns

- **Stream registers**
  - Contiguous data areas
  - Adaptive to cache arbitrarily sized contiguous regions with a single register
  - Stream registers track strided and sequential streams

- **Snoop caches**
  - Cache of recently executed snoop requests
  - Multiple requests to same line do not have to cause multiple snoop lookups
  - Snoop caches track locality

- **Range filter**
  - Identify regions of known non-shared data
  - Configured by software
Multi-component port filters

- Scalability requires multiple, simultaneous lookups for each cache
  - Different sharing patterns

- Port filters capture sharing with a specific remote cache
  - Port filters can be replicated to service multiple incoming requests simultaneously ⇒ scalable
  - No communication necessary between filters
Stream registers

- Tracks a superset of data that are in the cache
  - Captures when a line *might be* in the cache
  - Stream register state is only modified by L1 activity

- Each stream register is intended to capture an address stream
  - Multiple registers for multiple streams

```
Snoop 0x1D330
LOAD 0x7F840
LOAD 0x7F843

L1
7F840 7F843
```

```
base
7F840

mask
FFFFF
```

- mask bits corresponding to non-matching base and LOAD bits are set to 0

snoop 0x7F840

to L2...
Stream registers: cache wrapping

- Stream registers become less discriminating as addresses are added
  - Addresses cannot be subtracted from stream registers
  - Solution: periodically reset the registers
    - Safe to do only when all of the addresses they are tracking are no longer in L1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>base</th>
<th>mask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Active set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>base</th>
<th>mask</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7F840</td>
<td>FFFFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D337</td>
<td>FFFFF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

History set
Snoop cache

- If a cache line was invalidated, no need to invalidate it again
  - A hit in the snoop cache guarantees that the line is *not* in L1
  - Store L1 snoop addresses in the snoop cache, and evict LOAD addresses
  - Snoop cache state may be modified after each lookup

![Diagram of Snoop Cache]

- LOAD 0x7F840
- Snoop 0x7F840
- 7F840
- 7F840
- 7F846
- Hit!
Evaluation methodology

- **Trace-based evaluation and hardware measurements**
  - Trace-based simulation during design to study design-tradeoffs
  - Hardware measurements confirm accuracy of modeling and performance benefits

- **Multiprocessor traces**
  - Used Augmint to generate traces
  - SPLASH-2 benchmarks and other applications

- **Custom simulator to study filter effectiveness**
  - Modeled the PowerPC 450 L1 data cache
  - Memory accesses processed sequentially, with their effect applied immediately

- **Evaluated various snoop filters**
Varying the number of stream registers
Stream register update policy

- **Affinity**: Matching stream registers to memory accesses
  - Minimal Hamming Distance – captures strided accesses thru memory
  - Most Matching Upper Bits (MMUB) – captures contiguous regions of accesses
- **Selecting the “Empty Affinity” threshold at which to start a new stream**
  - If too low, streams not well captured and too many streams are started.
  - If too high, all streams merged and filters force passing of wide range of accesses.
Snoop cache size

- Different filtering rate depending on the benchmark
- Larger caches advantageous for some applications, less important for others
**Combined snoop filter**

Simulation results

snoop caches: 8 lines, 32 cache lines
8 stream registers

![Graph showing filter rate for different applications: FFT, Barnes, LU, Ocean, Raytrace, Cholesky. The filter rate is measured as a percentage. The graph indicates that the filter rate is around 100% for all applications, suggesting a high efficiency in the snoop filter.](image-url)
System-level view
BlueGene/P ASIC

- IBM Cu-08 90nm CMOS ASIC process technology
- Die size 173 mm²
- Clock frequency 850MHz
- Transistor count 208M
- Power dissipation 16W
Hardware measurements: snoop filter efficiency

[Bar chart showing normalized execution time for different applications with various snoop filter configurations]
Snoop filtering improves power and performance

Actual hardware measurements
UMT2k application
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Conclusion

- System level optimization is key to delivering on CMP promise
  - Coherence traffic problematic in terms of performance and power

- Multi-component filtering to capture data sharing and use patterns
  - Capture both temporal locality and streamed memory accesses
  - Capture region-based sharing

- Port filtering
  - Scalable, as port filters can be replicated to service multiple incoming requests simultaneously
    - No communication necessary between filters

- Stream registers
  - Adaptive filter to capture streams corresponding to contiguously accessed memory regions

- Snoop filtering highly effective solution for multicore systems
  - Filters up to 99% of all coherence requests
  - Efficient hardware implementation
  - Significant performance improvement
  - Power efficient
BlueGene on the Web

www.research.ibm.com/bluegene