# Modelling bi-coupled concentrating solar collectors

September 30, 2010 | Yosef Meller



# Outline

- The problem.
- The existing model.
- Problems with the existing model.
- The new model.
- Non-linear solver technicalities.
- Some results.



# **Small-scale CSP fields**

- CSP Concentrating Solar Power.
- Small area of highly efficient PV cells.
- Use heat close to source.





# **Double interconnection**

- Thermal series connection: achieve temperature goal.
- Electric series connection: achieve high voltage to reduce wire losses.
- Transverse connections!







#### Heat/Electricity interplay

 Energy that was not converted by the PV cells moves on to the heat exchanger (active cooler).





# Heat/Electricity interplay

 Energy that was not converted by the PV cells moves on to the heat exchanger (active cooler).



- Conversion efficiency  $\rightarrow$  exchanger temperature.
- Exchanger temperature  $\rightarrow$  conversion efficiency.



# The existing model

- For each receiver, a thermal network can be solved given inlet temperature.
- Propagate temperature along thermal series





# The existing model

- For each receiver, a thermal network can be solved given inlet temperature.
- Propagate temperature along thermal series



- Parallel thermal strings must have the same pressure drop
- Pressure-drop ↔ flow-rate feedback link. Use a non-linear equation solver.



#### Electric string issues Efficiency model

 Existing model employs a linear temperature-dependent efficiency model,

$$\eta_{\rm el} = \eta_{\rm cons} + T_{\rm pv} \eta_{\rm lin}$$

- $\eta_{\text{lin}}$  is negative, usually small.
- Both coefficients can be presented as function of concentration.
- this model is receiver local.



# **Electric string Issues**

How real PV cells behave



Source: PowerFromTheSun.net

- Efficiency depends on load (I/V ratio), insolation, temperature in a non-linear way.
- If maximum-power point can be maintained for a cell, the simple model works.



# Electric string issues



Source: pvcdrom.pveducation.org

- A weakly-illuminated cell will be reverse-biased.
- Excess energy unloaded on weak cell!
- Strong cells also less efficient.
- Mitigation: bypass diode.



#### New model Electric constraints

Expand non-linear equation system:

- Existing model solves for flow-rates based on pressure drop.
- Add solving for cell electric state based on electric-circuit rules.
  - Current equality.
  - Target current,

$$I_i = \sum V/R_{inv}$$



#### New model Thermal constraints

- Add solving for cells' temperature using energy conservation law.
  - Calculate power into coolant in a top-down order:

$$q_0 = q_{
m el} - q_{
m losses}$$

Calculate power in a left-right order using inlet temperature:

$$q_o^* = \dot{m}C_{\mathrm{p}}\left(1 - e^{-\mathit{UA}/\dot{m}C_{\mathrm{p}}}
ight)(\mathit{T}_{\mathrm{ex}} - \mathit{T}_{\mathrm{in}})$$

- Correct solution:  $q_o = q_o^*$
- Thermal constraints depend an all previous receivers in string.



# **Rotating the I-V curve**

A PV cell's I-V curve in an simplified way:



- A constant-current part.
- A constant-voltage part.
- A nearly-constant-voltage part.s

#### Over which variable to iterate?

Rotate the curve:

$$b = V - R_{\rm eq}I$$



#### Initial values The problem

- For mismatched cells, the error landscape of the electric constraints exposes a long ditch.
- On those points, the currents can't get much closer without changing the target current significantly.





#### Initial values Possible solutions

Continuation methods:

- Start with something you can solve.
- Use its solution as the initial value for a problem closer to the area of difficulty.
- Move closer and closer.
- This method is used in circuit simulators (spice).
- Slow but sure.



#### Initial values Possible solutions

Problem analysis:

- Derive an initial solution from problem features.
- In this case, directly solve using the linear I-V curve model.
- Problem-specific.



# **Solver considerations**

- Algorithm:
  - Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) replaces BFGS.
  - Result: running time and number of function calls reduced by over a half!



# **Solver considerations**

- Algorithm:
  - Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) replaces BFGS.
  - Result: running time and number of function calls reduced by over a half!
- Constraints:
  - An  $n \times n$  matrix for *n* constraints, each a function of *n* flow-rates
  - Bordered constraints:  $c_i = \Delta P_i \left( \vec{\dot{m}} \right) \Delta P_0 \left( \vec{\dot{m}} \right)$
  - Banded constraints:  $c_i = \Delta P_i \Delta P_{i-1}$ 
    - No noticable effect.
  - Average constraints:  $c_i = \Delta P_i \overline{\Delta P}$ 
    - Introduces an extra constraint.



#### Results Validation



- Reproduces the well-known I-V curve for an active/bypassed pair.
- Reproduces the P-V curve for the same case.



#### Results Temperatures



- Trough-points in temperature correspond to PV module's peak-power point.
- Unloading of excess energy on the weak receiver is visible.



#### Results Benchmark

- Benchmark: generate the two-receiver I-V curve.
- 50 points: 0.721
- Projection to 500 annual simulations on 4 cores: 1.75 hours
- Still lots of Python code involved.



#### My heartfelt gratitude

- The JSC, the organizers of the GSP and my adviser, B. Steffen.
- The Nordrhein-Westfalen ministry of innovation.
- The patiently suffering audience.



Yosef Meller Tel Aviv University Tel Aviv, Israel yosefmel@post.tau.ac.il

Some images used in this talk are intellectual property of other authors, and the reuse or redistribution thereof may require authorization.