



## Particle Based Hydrodynamics

Godehard Sutmann Institute for Advanced Simulation (IAS) - Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JSC) Research Centre Jülich

ICAMS, Ruhr-University Bochum

# Simulation Laboratory Molecular Systems

(HPC for Soft Matter and Materials Science)

- Research
  - Molecular- / Brownian dynamics
  - Mesoscopic hydrodynamics
  - Ab initio quantum chemistry
  - Long range interactions
  - Parallel algorithms
  - Load balancing
- Activities / Support
  - IBISCO (Univ. Darmstadt)
  - OCCAM (Univ. Salerno)
  - Turbomole
  - Columbus
- Projects
  - ScaFaCoS (BMBF)
  - GASPI (BMBF)
  - 3d-Transport (BMBF)
  - Mont-Blanc (FP7)
  - EESI / EESI-2 (FP7)
  - ESMI (FP7)

- Cooperation
  - Ruhr-University Bochum
  - CECAM
- Teaching
  - University for Applied Sciences Aachen/Jülich





# Simulation Laboratory Molecular Systems

(HPC for Soft Matter and Materials Science)

- Group
  - 3 senior scientists
  - 2 scienific programmer
  - 1 PhD student
  - 3 master students
  - Godehard Sutmann
  - Viorel Chihai
  - Thomas Müller
  - Rene Halver
  - Annika Hagemeier
  - Maya Kletzin
  - Rebecca Swaton
  - Annika Simon





### Simulation Laboratory Molecular Systems

(Soft Matter + Quantum Chemistry)

#### **Research and Development**

- Molecular Dynamics, Monte Carlo and stochastic dynamical methods
  Parallel mesoscopic hydrodynamics (MP2C)
  Libraries for generic components, e.g. integrators, long range interactions
  Mathematical methods, e.g. multigrid (wavelets)

- Load-balancing methods
  Code development on various architectures (CPU, GPU)
  Parallel algorithms for specific methods
  Parallel *ab initio* methods (Turbomole, Columbus)

#### Support in scientific computing

- Support for specific problems (methods, algorithms)
- Provision of parallel programs and single components for simulation and data analysis

#### Administration

- Organisation of schools and workshops (CECAM)
   Third party funding acquisition



#### **Outline**

- Introduction
- Multi-Particle Collision Dynamics
- Parallelization
- Some applications



#### Acknowledgements

- Gerhard Gompper, Roland Winkler Institute for Complex Sysems, FZ Jülich
- Humberto Hijar UNAM, Mexico
- Annika Simon, Rene Halver
   Institute for Advanced Simulation, FZ Jülich



#### **Applications: Interactions of Nanoparticles**

Large scale simulation of coated silica nanoparticles (7 Mio. Atoms, 1 ns ~ 140 h on 1024 procs.



At distances beyond contact, particles behave like Brownian particles, described by Stokes-Einstein in a hydrodynamic medium



G.Grest et al., PRE 79, 050501 (2009)

Replace explicit waters by effective hydrodynamic media



#### **Hydrodynamic Interactions**

 For small Reynolds numbers (velocities) the NS equations may be linearized

$$\rho \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \nabla p - \eta_{sh} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}$$

 The velocity field is then given by a "response" function to the external perturbation

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r}' \, \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}')$$



The Green's function is the Oseen tensor (not positive definite)

• Extension for finite size particles (positive definite)

$$\mathbf{T}^{(RP)}(r) = \frac{1}{6\pi\eta_{sh}r} \left(\frac{3}{4}(\mathbf{1} + \hat{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{r}}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{a^2}{r^2}(\mathbf{1} - 3\hat{\mathbf{r}}\hat{\mathbf{r}})\right)$$



#### **Hydrodynamic Interactions**

- This description is a simplified view and only valid for dilute solutions
- No thermodynamic fluctuations included
- Hydrodynamic interactions are not pairwise additive
- Leads to involved calculations if one wants to do it correctly
- More rigorous calculations would solve Navier-Stokes equations with solvated particles
  - problem of dynamic boundary conditions at particle positions
  - problem of discretisation of compute domain at particle positions
  - limitation to only a few particles
- Approximate treatment within Stokesian Dynamics



#### **Discrete schemes for hydrodynamics**

- To facilitate treatment of hydrodynamic interactions, several schemes were developed
  - Lattice-Boltzmann
  - Dissipative Particle Dynamics
  - Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics
  - Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC)
  - Multi-Particle Collision Dynamics (MPC)
    - Stochastic Rotation Dynamics
    - MPC-variants (Collision rules)
    - thermal fluctuation
    - various boundary conditions



#### **Multi-Particle Collision Dynamics**

- Solvent particles are considered to exchange momentum between solvent and solute particles
  - Momentum exchange occurs after collisions
  - No explicit interactions (no hydrodynamic force field)
  - Microscopic details of solvent collisions are not of interest
  - Therefore modeled by stochastic momentum exchange
- Requirements
  - Conservation of energy
  - conservation of momentum
  - (conservation of angular momentum)



#### **MPC construction of collision cells**



- particles are sorted into collision cells
- to conserve Galilean invariance, cells are shifted by a random offset in every time step

$$\delta L_{c,\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha} L_{c,\alpha} \qquad \qquad \xi_{\alpha} \mapsto \mathsf{U}[0,1]$$

- Equivalent to shift ALL particles by random offset
  - Shift ALL particles by random offset
  - Sort into FIXED cells
  - Perform collisions
  - Shift back ALL particles

In parallel implementation would induce large Data transfer across processors

$$K' = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_i (\mathbf{v}_{cm} + R\{\delta \mathbf{v}_i\})^2 = K$$

$$\mathbf{P}' = \sum_{i \in \Omega} m_i (\mathbf{v}_{cm} + \delta \mathbf{v}_i) = \sum_{i \in \Omega} m_i (\mathbf{v}_{cm} + R\{\delta \mathbf{v}_i\}) = \mathbf{P}$$



Bochum ICAMS2



#### **MPC collision: random rotation**

Perform a random rotation of relative velocities by

 $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i,\parallel} + R(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i,\perp};\alpha)$ 

with  $R(\mathbf{v}; \alpha) = \mathbf{v} \cos 2\pi \alpha + (\mathbf{v} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \sin 2\pi \alpha$ 

for every collision cell calculate a random rotation axis

$$\hat{n}_x = \sqrt{1 - (2\xi_1 - 1)^2} \cos 2\pi\xi_2 \qquad \hat{n}_y = \sqrt{1 - (2\xi_1 - 1)^2} \sin 2\pi\xi_2$$
$$\hat{n}_z = 2\xi_1 - 1$$

 add com velocity of the cell to rotated relative velocities to get new particle velocities *after* a collision

$$\mathbf{v}_i \mapsto \mathbf{\tilde{v}}_i + \mathbf{v}_{c,cm}$$





#### **MPC: theoretical background**

- it may be shown that MPC represents a discretised description of linearized Navier-Stokes equations
- theoretical expressions for transport coefficients

• viscosity of the fluid 
$$\eta = \eta_{kin} + \eta_{col}$$

$$\eta_{coll} = (\gamma - 1 + e^{-\gamma}) \frac{m \left[1 - \cos \alpha\right]}{12a\delta t}$$

$$\eta_{kin} = \rho k_B T \delta t \left( \frac{1}{1-w} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$w = 1 - \left(\frac{\gamma - 1 + e^{-\gamma}}{5\gamma}\right) \left[4 - 2\cos\alpha - 2\cos2\alpha\right]$$

A.Malevanets and R.Kapral, J.Chem.Phys. **110**, 8605-8613 (1999)



- $\gamma$  numb. part./cell
- m particle mass
- $\alpha$  rotation angle
- a coll. cell size
- $\delta t$  time step



- Boundary conditions for flow problems ( often surfaces with no-slip), e.g.
  - shear conditions between plates
  - Poiseuille flow
  - embedded obstacles
- overlapping cells might show wrong statistics / dynamics
  - e.g. one particle would have no collision
  - com never on the surface
- introduce virtual particles inside wall
  - takes into account momentum transfer to the wall









- Example Poiseuille flow
  - boundary conditions: no-slip





- Statistics for particle distribution
  - particles are Poisson distributed in cells with given average number according to density

$$p_{\langle x \rangle_{k\delta x}}(m) = \frac{\langle n \rangle_{k\delta x}^m}{m!} e^{-\langle n \rangle_{k\delta x}} \qquad \qquad \mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$$
$$\sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2$$

- Fill overlapping volume of cells with proper no. of virtual particles to get correct density fluctuations
  - consider real partial cell as realization of random draw
  - add virtual particles according to average no. in overlap volume





- Example shear flow between plates
  - boundary conditions: no-slip
    - mean velocity of virtual particles in overlapping cell

$$\langle v \rangle = \int_{x_{wall}}^{x_{wall}+h-\delta x} dx p(x) v(x) \qquad v = \dot{\gamma} x$$

$$\langle v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h-\delta x} \dot{\gamma} \left( (x_{wall}+h-\delta x)^2 - x_{wall}^2 \right)$$

Velocity profile

Excess Velocity close to the surface





#### Flow around cylinder – boundary conditions

- Influence on flow profile
  - Slip boundary conditions (reflection)
  - No-slip boundary conditions (bounce back)



Bochum ICAMS2



Re ~ 10

 $v_{y,z} \mapsto v_{y,z}$ 



#### Flow around cylinder – boundary conditions

- Influence on flow profile
  - Slip boundary conditions (reflection)
  - No-slip boundary conditions (bounce back)





#### **MPC: thermostating the fluid**

- MPC is energy and momentum conserving
- in external fields, energy is gained and one has to transfer the energy to a reservoir
- thermostating takes into account a proper temperature distribution
- however, there are differences in local and global temperature corrections
- statistical properties (thermal fluctuations) might be influenced to produce fictitious results



#### **MPC: thermostating the fluid**

- Velocity distribution  $P(\{\boldsymbol{v}\}) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{3N_c/2} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2k_B T}\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \boldsymbol{v}_i^2\right)$
- Kinetic energy distribution (+ momentum conservation)

$$P(E_k) = \frac{1}{Z} \int \delta \left( E_k - \frac{m}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_i^2 \right) \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_i \right) \exp \left( -\frac{m}{2k_B T} \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_i^2 \right) d^{N_c} \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_i$$
$$P(E_k) = \frac{1}{E_k \Gamma(f/2)} \left( \frac{E_k}{k_B T} \right)^{f/2} \exp \left( -\frac{E_k}{k_B T} \right) \qquad \qquad f = 3(N_c - 1)$$

Correction of velocities

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{v}_{i} = \alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_{i} \qquad \alpha = \left(\frac{2E_{k}'}{m\sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}}\Delta \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \qquad E_{k}' \text{ from P(E_{k}) distribution}$$

Probability for a velocity change to conserve statistics

$$P(\Delta \boldsymbol{v}, N_c) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T (1 - 1/N_c)}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{2k_B T (1 - 1/N_c)}\Delta \boldsymbol{v}^2\right)$$
$$P(\Delta \boldsymbol{v}) = \sum_{N_c=2}^{\infty} e^{-\langle N_c \rangle} \frac{\langle N_c \rangle^{N_c}}{N_c!} P(\Delta \boldsymbol{v}, N_c) / \left(1 - (\langle N_c \rangle + 1)e^{-\langle N_c \rangle}\right)$$



#### **Random Numbers for the Thermostat**

Acceptance-rejection method  $c g(x) > p(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ target function φ = 80 0.4 $g(E) = \alpha \exp(-\alpha |E - E_{\rm m}|)$ exp. restriction fct. φ = 80 target function φ = 300 o(E;B,4), q(E;α,4) exp. restriction fct.  $\phi = 300$ 0.3  $E_{\rm m} = (\phi/2 - 1)/\beta$   $\alpha = \beta \sqrt{2/\phi}$ . 0.2  $\phi = 300$  $\xi_2 < p(\xi_1)/cg(\xi_1)$ 0.1 **Rejection function** 20 40 60 80 energy E  $q_0(E;\alpha,\beta,\phi) = \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma(\phi/2)} \beta^{\phi/2} E^{\phi/2-1} e^{-\beta E} e^{|E-(\phi/2-1)/\beta|\alpha}$  $\phi = 20$  $\phi = 80$  $\phi = 160$  $\phi = 300$ ejection q(E;α,β,φ) normalized (to maximum) 0.6  $q(E;\alpha^*,\beta,\phi) = \frac{E^{\phi/2-1}e^{-\beta E}e^{|\beta E - (\phi/2-1)|\alpha^*}}{\left[\frac{\phi/2-1}{\beta(1-\alpha^*)}\right]^{\phi/2-1}e^{(\phi/2-1)(1+\alpha^*)}}$ 0.4 0.2 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 energy E  $\xi_2 \in [0,1]$ 



#### **MPC: thermostating the fluid**







#### **MPC: thermostating the fluid**

Example: Poiseuille flow

 $\hat{v}_{ix}(t+\Delta t) = v_{ix}(t) + g\Delta t \qquad r_{ix}(t+\Delta t) = r_{ix}(t) + v_{ix}(t)\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}g\Delta t^2$ 





#### **Dynamic Structure Factor**

MPC with constant energy vs constant temperature





#### **Dynamic Structure Factor**





#### **Solute/Solvent interactions: coupling to MD**

- Every n MD steps sort solutes together with solvent particles into collision cells
- Calculate common com-velocity

$$\mathbf{v}_{c,cm} = \frac{1}{M_C^{(A)} + M_C^{(B)}} \left( \sum_{a \in C}^{N_A} m_a \mathbf{v}_a + \sum_{b \in C}^{N_B} m_b \mathbf{v}_b \right)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i = \mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_{c,cm} \qquad i \in \{A, B\}$$

and perform a rotation in velocity space for both solvent and solute particles around the same random axis

- Results in a stochastic momentum change of the solutes while conserving the overall momentum and kinetic energy in the system
- Inclusion of hydrodynamic modes into solute dynamics



#### **Domain Decomposition**

MD and MPC methods are local – no long range interactions (not yet)

- Every processor is responsible for a certain region Domain Decomposition
- In 3 dimensions every domain has 26 neighbors (if decomposition is not time dependent – load balanced)
- Communication parts
  - MPC
    - Collision cell properties
    - Import/Export of particles due to diffusion





#### May. 6, 2013 Bochum ICAMS2

30

## Target platforms for present benchmark:

#### JUGENE

Running with MPI on several machines (hybrid in progress)



Implementation into MP2C (not designed for special hardware platform)

147 Tbyte main memory 2 GByte per node

#### JUQUEEN



28672 compute nodes 458752 compute cores 5.9 PetaFlop / Peak 4.141 PetaFlop / Linpack

448 Tbyte main memory 16 GByte per node No. 5 world No. 1 Europe (Nov. 2012)

### Hardware

JUROPA





2208 / 1080 compute nodes 2 PEs (Intel Nehalem guad core) 17664 / 8640 cores total 207 / 101 Tflop/s peak,

79 TByte main memory, 24 GByte per node

#### **MPC: Weak scaling BG/P**





• Keep number of particles/processor constant



#### **MPC: Weak scaling BQ/Q**





• Keep number of particles/processor constant



### **Strong scaling on JUROPA**





#### Keep total problem size constant



#### **Strong scaling JUGENE**





Keep total problem size constant





#### **MD: Strong scaling**



- Keep total number of particles constant
- Benchmark system: 3000 Polymer chains with 250 monomers





#### **Expl: Semi-diluted Polymer solutions under Shear**

- Problem with polymers is the increasing relaxation time with number of monomers (O(N<sup>2</sup>))
- Simplifications through coarse grain potentials and coarse grain solvent
- Interesting phenomena, like shear thinning, occur from c/c\* > 1

$$c^* = \frac{L}{V_p} = L \left(\frac{4}{3}\pi R_g^3\right)^{-1} \propto L^{-0.8}$$



L=50 c/c\*=0.1





#### **Expl: Semi-diluted Polymer solutions under Shear**

Shear thinning for large shear rates





$$\eta = \eta_s + \eta_{bond} + \eta_{intra} + \eta_{inter}$$

$$\eta_{s} = \frac{1}{Vh} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{s}} m v_{ix} v_{iy} - \frac{1}{Vh} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{s}} \Delta p_{ix} r_{iy}$$





#### Flow in stochastic geometries

High Temperature – Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cell (HT-PEFC)



#### JÜLICH FORSCHUNGSZENTRUM

#### Flow in stochastic geometries

- Effective path length: tortuosity
  - Fick's law

 $j_A = -c \, D_{AB}^{(f)} \, \nabla x_A$ 

Diffusion in porous media

 $D_{AB}^{(p)} = \beta D_{AB}^{(f)} \qquad \beta = \phi S_g \tau$ 

- $\phi$  porosity
- $S_g$  gas saturation
- au tortuosity

GDL







#### **Flow in stochastic geometries**

Flow in Gas Diffusion Layer (GDL) of fuel cells



Tortuosity:  $\tau = 1.149 \pm 0.03$ 



 $\pm 0.03$   $\tau_v =$ 

 $\tau_v = 1.187$ 



#### Thank you for your attention !