Bridging the gap: From large-scale aggregation to individual prediction





Simon B. Eickhoff INM-7, Brain & Behaviour Systems Neuroscience, HHU Düsseldorf



www.helmholtz.de





Cognitive performance, socio-affective functions, (psycho-) pathology

Brain-phenotype association need large samples



Clear gradient of replicability across phenotypes Previous studies for cognitive traits likely underpowered

Kharabian et al., submitted



Mapping brain areas across scales and features





Crosshair Position		Hide Crosshair
mm:	-40.0 -22.0 54.0	BBox (nonzero)
vx:	57.0 111.0 133.0	Colormap "hot"
Cytoarchitecture		Add SPM
Area 4p (PreCG)		
45.8% Area 4a (PreCG)		Add Image
39.1%	Area 4p (PreCG)	
1.1%	Area 50 (PostCG)	Remove

SPM: Right > Left Response [p<0.05 (FWE), k=25]

(625 vox): -40 / -22 / +54 (8): -40 / -22 / +54 Assignment based on Maximum Probability Map

30.5% in Area 3b (PostCG) [15.0 activated] 21.7% in Area 4p (PreCG) [18.4 activated] 12.6% in Area 4a (PreCG) [5.4 activated] 10.5% in Area 1 (PostCG) [5.1 activated] 8.9% in Area 2 (PostCS) [4.1 activated]

Probability exceedance (under cluster vs. entire map) 1.90 [1.81; 2.00] for Area 4p (PreCG) 1.74 [1.68; 1.80] for Area 3b (PostCG) 1.15 [1.10; 1.20] for Area 4s (PreCG) 1.08 [1.03; 1.13] for Area 1 (PostCG) 0.95 [0.90; 1.00] for Area 2 (PostCS)

Top probabilites at peak voxels (union) 0.97 for Area 4a (PreCG) 0.96 for Area 4p (PreCG) 0.88 for Area 3b (PostCG) 0.65 for Area 1 (PostCG)





Print Current Window	Print All Clusters
Restart Toolbox	Exit

Macroanatomy: Precentral Gyrus 33.0% Precentral Gyrus 28.0% Postcentral Gyrus

Assignment based on Maximum Probability Map 65.9% in Postcentral Gyrus [7.6 activated] 18.6% in Precentral Gyrus [1.8 activated]

Probability exceedance (under cluster vs. entire map) 1.75 [1.71; 1.79] for Postcentral Gyrus 0.97 [0.93; 1.00] for Precentral Gyrus

Top probabilites at peak voxels (union) 0.99 for Postcentral Gyrus 0.93 for Precentral Gyrus

Eickhoff et al., Nat Rev Neurosci 2018, Neuroimage 2017









Individual voxels in the ROI



Mapping fingerprint – phenotype relationships



Can we accurately predict sex of a new subject from region-wise FC profiles? (SVM, nested optimization, between-sample prediction, N=434 / 310)

Weis et al., submitted



Meta-Analyses: Priors for individual prediction



Nostro et al., 2018, Pläschke et al., 2017, cf. Schilbach et al., 2014



Mesoscopic modelling of whole-brain dynamics







Aachen Danilo Bzdok Kathrin Reetz Frank Schneider Karl Zilles

Düsseldorf / Jülich Katrin Amunts Svenja Caspers

Oxford Thomas Nichols Maryland Peter Kochunov

San Antonio Peter Fox

Miami Angela R. Laird

Beijing Tianzi Jiang Lingzhong Fan Philadelphia Christos Davatzikos

Singapore Thomas Yeo

Stanford

Amit Etkin

Teheran Masoud Tahmasian