Chebyshev accelerated SUBSPACE EIGENSOLVER

THE EVOLUTION OF CHASE TOWARDS JUWELS BOOSTER EXECUTION AT SCALE

JSC Jahresabschlusskolloquium 2023

December 7, 2023 | E. Di Napoli, X. Wu |



Member of the Helmholtz Association

Complexity

Problem definition

$$AX = X\Lambda \quad A \equiv A^H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \quad X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} \quad \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \quad k < n$$



Complexity

Problem definition

 $AX = X\Lambda \quad A \equiv A^H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \quad X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} \quad \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \quad k < n$

Eigensolver algorithms based on direct diagonalization (dense matrices)

- Divide&Conquer
- MRRR
- BXInvIt
- • •







Complexity

Problem definition

 $AX = X\Lambda \quad A \equiv A^H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \quad X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} \quad \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \quad k < n$

Eigensolver algorithms based on direct diagonalization (dense matrices)

 $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$

- Divide&Conquer
- MRRR
- BXInvIt
- . . .

• • • •

Eigensolver based on iterative algorithms (sparse matrices)

- Subspace iteration
- Krylov methods
- Rayleigh-Ritz projection (e.g. LOBPCG)

$$\mathcal{O}(k \times n^2)$$



CaLAPACK Users' Guid



Guiding principles for performance and scaling

Given an algorithm ...

- 1 Blocked algorithms to maximize computational intensity.
- 2 Avoid as much as possible to **communicate** data across computing units or processes.
- Even when communication is unavoidable, maximize memory bandwidth usage.





Guiding principles for performance and scaling

Given an algorithm ...

- 1 Blocked algorithms to maximize **computational intensity**.
- 2 Avoid as much as possible to **communicate** data across computing units or processes.
- Even when communication is unavoidable, maximize memory bandwidth usage.



Overall algorithm is $O(k \times n^2)$, some kernels could have **lower complexity**

One more guiding principle

If possible, use for each kernel the appropriate level of parallelism.

\implies Subspace iteration powered by spectral filters



A KNOWLEDGE-INCLUSIVE OPTIMIZED EIGENSOLVER



- License: open source BSD 3.0
- GitHub: https://github.com/ChASE-library/ChASE
- Docs: https://chase-library.github.io/ChASE/index.html
- Latest release: v. 1.4.0 August 7th 2023
- Zenodo Key: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6366000
- Reference key: https://doi.org/10.1145/3313828
- Reference key: https://doi.org/10.1145/3539781.3539792

Highlights

- Solve for Symmetric real/Hermitian complex eigenproblems
- Sequences of dense eigenproblems: exploits correlation between adjacent problems
- Modern C++ interface: depends only on LAPACK and BLAS functions
- Distributed CPU and multi-GPU builds available
- Easy-to-integrate: ready-to-use Fortran to C++ interface



USE CASES AND FEATURES

- ChASE is templated for Real and Complex type.
- ChASE is also templated to work in Single and Double precision.
- ChASE is currently designed to solve for the extremal portion of the eigenspectrum. The library is particularly efficient when no more than 20% of the eigenspectrum is sought after.
- Chase currently handles standard eigenvalue problems.
- ChASE can receive as input a matrix of vector \hat{V}
- For a fixed accuracy level (residual tolerance), ChASE can optimize the degree of the Chebyshev polynomial filter so as to minimize the number of FLOPs necessary to reach convergence.



CHEBYSHEV SUBSPACE ITERATION ALGORITHM

v1.2.2

INPUT: Hermitian matrix A, tol, deg — OPTIONAL: approximate eigenvectors V, extreme eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_{\text{NEV}}, \lambda_{\text{MAX}}\}$.

- OUTPUT: NEV wanted eigenpairs (Λ, V) .
 - **Lanczos DoS step.** Identify the bounds for $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_{NEV}, \lambda_{MAX}\}$ corresponding to the wanted eigenspace.

REPEAT UNTIL CONVERGENCE:

- **2** Optimized Chebyshev filter. Filter a block of vectors $V \leftarrow p(A)V$ with optimal degree.
- **3** Re-orthogonalize the vectors outputted by the filter; V = QR.
- 4 Compute the Rayleigh quotient $G = Q^{\dagger} AQ$.
- **5** Compute the primitive Ritz pairs (Λ, Y) by solving for $GY = Y\Lambda$.
- **6** Compute the approximate Ritz pairs $(\Lambda, V \leftarrow QY)$.
- **7** Compute the residuals of the Ritz vectors $||AV V\Lambda||$.
- 8 Deflate and lock the converged vectors.

END REPEAT

Legend: Original algorithmic contribution, 2D MPI parallel, executed redundantly on each process Member of the Helmholtz Association December 7, 2023 Slide 5



MATRIX AND VECTORS DISTRIBUTION

• Each node gets the appropriate part of *A*, *B* and *C*.





ENVIRONMENT AND EIGENPROBLEM TYPE

JURECA-DC GPU partition

- 2×64 cores AMD EPYC 7742 CPUs @ 2.25 GHz (16×32 GB DDR4 Memory)
- 4 NVIDIA Tesla A100 GPUs (4×40 GB high-bandwidth memory).
- ChASE (relase 1.1.2) is compiled with GCC 9.3.0, OpenMPI 4.1.0 (UCX 1.9.0), CUDA 11.0 and Intel MKL 2020.4.304.
- All computations are performed in double-precision.

Table: Spectral information for generating test matrices. In this table, we have $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Matrix Name	Spectral Distribution
UNIFORM (UNI)	$\lambda_k = d_{max}(\epsilon + \frac{(k-1)(1-\epsilon)}{n-1})$
GEOMETRIC (GEO)	$\lambda_k = d_{max} \epsilon^{\frac{n-k}{n-1}}$
(1-2-1) (1-2-1)	$\lambda_k = 2 - 2\cos(\frac{\pi k}{n+1})$
WILKINSON (WILK)	All positive, but one, roughly in pairs.

PASC22 proceedings: https://doi.org/10.1145/3539781.3539792



WEAK SCALING

Artificial matrices: type UNIFORM, from n = 30000 until n = 360000, nev = 2250 and nex = 750

CPU scaling

GPU scaling





- 4 × GPUs with 1 MPI task per node;
- ChASE scales linearly;
- Time doubles every time matrix size quadruples (CPU) and triples (GPU);
- Filters scales very well;
- Confirm QR, RR, Resid need a revised parallel computational scheme.

Slide 8



NEW PARALLEL ALGORITHM

for QR, Rayleigh-Ritz and Residuals

Chase Algorithm

- Changed workspace design ⇒ reduction in memory consumption
- 1-D distribution for array of vectors in QR factorization, Rayleigh-Ritz (RR) projection, and Residual computation
- Hiding communication with computation within for RR projection and Residual computation
- Hybrid usage of Householder- and Cholesky-QR for the QR factorization
- Mechanism to limit polynomial degree to avoid the failure of CholQR
- New release: Version v1.3.0 (March 10th 2023)
- Much better strong and weak scaling



1D-MPI VS REDUNDANT ON EACH MPI

 1^{st} row: JURECA-DC (1 interconnect) – 2^{nd} row: JUWELS Booster (4 interconnects) WS: Artificial matrices: type UNIFORM, from n = 30000 until n = 240000, nev = 2250 and nex = 750 **RR CPU QR CPU Resid CPU**



Slide 10

WEAK AND STRONG SCALING

SS: Artificial matrix: type UNIFORM, n = 130000, nev = 1000 and nex = 300 WS: Artificial matrices: type UNIFORM, from n = 30000 until n = 600000, nev = 2250 and nex = 750

Strong scaling









EXPLOITING NCCL

Memory copying operations for the collective operations can be bypassed by exploring the GPUDirect technology

- Used GPU-driven NCCL library to replace the MPI library for all the collective communication;
- 2D NCCL communicator has been built on top of the 2D MPI grid;
- Each MPI process is mapped to a single GPU device;
- All the operations of AllReduce and Bcast are substituted by their equivalents in NCCL;
- All the host-device data movement for all major kernels have been eliminated.



NCCL VS 1D-MPI VS REDUNDANT ON EACH MPI

JUWELS Booster (4 interconnects)

WS: Artificial matrices: type UNIFORM, from n = 30000 until n = 240000, nev = 2250 and nex = 750

Filter GPU Resid GPU **RR GPU** 8 3 6 Time (s) ⁵ Time (s) Time (s) 2 2 2 Δ 16 64 16 64 16 64 Number of Nodes Number of Nodes Number of Nodes

Computation (marked in green), communication (red) and data movement (blue) ChASE LMS (v1.2.2) — bright color shades ChASE STD (v1.3.0) — lighter color shades ChASE NCCL (v1.4.0) — lightest color shades

WEAK AND STRONG SCALING

SS: MS matrix: \ln_2O_3 , n = 115000, nev = 1200 and nex = 400 WS: Artificial matrices: type UNIFORM, from n = 30000 until n = 900000, nev = 2250 and nex = 750 Strong scaling Weak scaling







LESSONS LEARNED

- Design of kernel parallelism has to evolve with the size of the problem;
- 2 Strategy to avoid communication had to evolve with the evolution of the hardware;
- Be on the lookout to exploit new algorithms (CholQR)
- 4 Extracting node-level performance using specialized kernels is not trivial;
- Solution Solution
- 6 Initialization can become a substantial bottleneck for large scale computations.



OUTLOOK

- Porting to FUGAKU on the way (aim: learn some lessons towards Jupiter exascale modular booster)
- Next bottleneck: solving for n ~ O(10⁶) and nev> 0.001 × n → mixed 2D distribution (block-cyclic + element-wise)
- Extension to interior eigenproblems through rational spectral filters for sparse matrices $n \sim O(10^7 10^8)$ with flexible 3D distribution
- (Adaptive) integration in domain software (FHI-aims, QE);
- Explore extension to mixed-precision.



OUTLOOK

- Porting to FUGAKU on the way (aim: learn some lessons towards Jupiter exascale modular booster)
- Next bottleneck: solving for n ~ O(10⁶) and nev> 0.001 × n → mixed 2D distribution (block-cyclic + element-wise)
- Extension to interior eigenproblems through rational spectral filters for sparse matrices $n \sim O(10^7 10^8)$ with flexible 3D distribution
- (Adaptive) integration in domain software (FHI-aims, QE);
- Explore extension to mixed-precision.

Thank you!

References

Berljafa, Wortmann, Di Napoli – https://10.1002/cpe.3394 (2014)

Winkelmann, Springer, Di Napoli – https://doi.org/10.1145/3313828 (2019)

Zhang, Achilles, Winkelmann, Haas, Schleife, Di Napoli – https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2021.108081 (2021)

Wu, Davidovic, Achilles, Di Napoli – https://doi.org/10.1145/3539781.3539792 (2022)

Wu, Di Napoli - https://doi.org/10.1145/3624062.3624249 (2023)

